

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,156	08/21/2003	Joo-Suck Jung	0001420/3062USU	7189
7590 08/08/2006			EXAMINER	
Paul D. Greele	y, Esq.	TILL, TERRENCE R		
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P. One Landmark Square, 10th Floor Stamford, CT 06901-2682			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/645,156	JUNG, JOO-SUCK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Terrence R. Till	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repulsion nunication.  atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 18 May 2006	•				
•—						
<del>,</del>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
·— · · ·	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	·				
Disposition of Claims	,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the ap	polication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-7 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.		-				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	l Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
• •	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)	∧ <del>⊠</del>	(HIMMON / IDTO 412)				
1)	TO-948) Paper No(s	tummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date. <u>20060807</u> .				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1 and 5-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by the admitted prior art of figures 1 and 2.
- 3. The admitted prior art is considered to disclose all the recited subject matter particularly a cap 70 connected to an outer surface of the second connection part 42 of the holder 40, and comprising an elastic locking member 51,60 integrally formed therein. The elastic locking member having a hook 51 protruded from the inside of the holder through the locking hole 42a into the second connection part and inserted in the fixing hole 21a of the extension pipe through the locking hole to thereby lock the extension pipe (see applicant's description of admitted prior art, page 3, lines 10-21) and it should be noted that the term "integrally" is considered to encompass constructions of multiple parts that are assembled and function as a unit.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

\_\_

Art Unit: 1744

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 2 and 3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art (figures 1 and 2) in view of UK publication to Lee '712 (cited in IDS).
- 7. The admitted prior art discloses all the recited subject matter with exception of a first bracket integrally formed on the holder and a second bracket integrally formed on the cap to support the axis. The UK publication to Lee '712 discloses (prior art, figures 1-3) a similar pipe locking device, which includes a first bracket 36,36a with holes 36b, formed therein to support a pivot axis 42 of the locking member 40. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of the admitted prior art of the application with a first/second bracket mounted either on the holder or cap to support the axis. With respect to claim 4, although the combination of the admitted prior art does not disclose third and fourth brackets, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide third and fourth brackets in a crossing manner on the holder since it is considered within the purview of one skilled in the art to duplicate parts. It should be noted the third and fourth brackets are really two brackets, not four formed in a crossing manner.

Art Unit: 1744

# Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed 5/18/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 10. The added language to the claims is clearly admitted prior art. Therefore it cannot distinguish from the rejection using the admitted prior art. As stated in the body of the rejection, it has been held that the term "integral" is sufficiently broad to embrace constructions united by such means as fastening and welding. In re Hotte, 177 USPQ 326, 328 (CCPA 1973). With respect to claims 2 and 3, the examiner uses Lee to provide brackets to the prior art; not any of the other structure disclosed by Lee. Additionally, applicant's arguments are persuasive with respect to claim 4 and claim 4 is now objected to as having allowable subject matter.

# Conclusion

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 1744

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrence R. Till whose telephone number is (571) 272-1280. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Thurs. and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys P. Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Terrence R. Till Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744